

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the office action, the Examiner objected to claim 18 due to the use of an inappropriate variation of the word "selective". In response to this objection the claim has been amended.

The Examiner also rejected claims 14 – 21 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. In making this rejection, the Examiner noted particular problems with claims 14 and 19. In response to this rejection, the undersigned called the Examiner on December 18, 2007 to briefly discuss the rejection and possible amendments that might be effective in overcoming it. During this telephone interview the claim amendments set forth herein were discussed. The purpose of the interview was not to obtain the Examiner's final acceptance of the proposed changes but to further understand the rejections and to determine whether or not there were any obvious problems with the proposed amendments. No problems with the amendments were under covered during the interview but it is understood that the Examiner will be further considering their appropriateness during the consideration of this written response.

It is believed that the amendments presented herein address the concerns raised by the Examiner and it is believed that the amendments place the claims and application in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections and passage to allowance is earnestly solicited. If a telephonic interview would be useful to clear up any issues raised by this amendment or otherwise remaining in the application, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned to discuss such issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Microfabrica Inc.
7911 Haskell Avenue
Van Nuys, CA 91406
Ph: (818) 786-3322, ext. 105
Fx: (818) 997-3659

/ Dennis R. Smalley /

Dennis R. Smalley
Reg. No. 35,364